

09/695,518

PATENTAMENDMENT A (IN RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 7
(OFFICE ACTION DATED APRIL 5, 2004))REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in this case. Independent claims 1, 9 and 17 have been amended hereinabove. Based upon the following remarks, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable.

Objections to Specification & Drawings

The Specification and Drawings were cited as objectionable for the Specification including references to reference element 100 in Figure 1 while Figure 1 contained no such reference element 100. These objections are respectfully traversed. Submitted herewith is a replacement drawing sheet containing an amended Figure 1 (in formal form) in which reference element 100 has been added.

§102 Rejection of Claims

Claims 1, 5-8, 9, 13-16 and 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Britton et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,654,814 ("Britton"). This rejection is respectfully traversed and it is submitted that these claims recite subject matter which is not anticipated by and is patentable over Britton.

Independent claims 1, 9 and 17 have been amended to clarify a distinction between the presently claimed subject matter and the subject matter disclosed in Britton. More specifically, as now more expressly recited in claims 1, 9 and 17, the presently claimed invention involves a connection with more than two "points" or "nodes". For example, the recited interface client ("node 1") provides information about itself to the recited wireless link ("node 2"), which serves as the communication engine and in turn receives content from a remote source ("node 3") and conveys such content to the interface client for display thereby. The

11602.00.0004
CHICAGO/#1260236.1

6

09/695,518

PATENT

AMENDMENT A (IN RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 7
(OFFICE ACTION DATED APRIL 5, 2004))

system of Britton is a "point-to-point", or "two-node", type of system in which each respective "processing system" (e.g., a desktop computer, a laptop computer or a telephone, as shown in Figure 1 of Britton) communicates with or obtains information from a content source (server 20), and contains and manages its own display. Hence, in contrast to the system of Britton, in the presently claimed invention, the small size and portability of a wireless link can be enjoyed while also enjoying the higher resolution and larger size and feature set of the interface client display. Based upon information about the interface client as received from the interface client, the wireless link, as the communication engine, receives and conveys content from a remote source for display by the interface client. In the system of Britton, each "processing system" is limited to its own display, so features such as size and resolution of the display must be compromised for portability of the "processing system" itself.

§103 Rejection of Claims

Claims 2-4 and 10-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Britton in view of Trompower et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,138,019 ("Trompower"). This rejection is respectfully traversed and it is submitted that these claims recite subject matter which is patentable over Britton.

In accordance with and with reference to the discussion immediately hereinabove, it is submitted that claims independent claims 1 and 9, in conformance with the foregoing amendment, recite subject matter which is patentable over Britton. It is further submitted that such claims recite subject matter which is patentable over Britton and Trompower, regardless of whether such references are considered individually or in combination(s). Therefore, it is still further submitted that their respective dependent claims 2-4 and 10-12, in conformance with the foregoing amendment, recite subject matter which is

11602.00.0004
CHICAGO/#1260236.1

7

09/695,518

PATENT

AMENDMENT A (IN RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 7
(OFFICE ACTION DATED APRIL 5, 2004))

patentable over such references as well, particularly in view of these latter claims' further recited limitations.

Conclusion

Claims 1-20 remain pending in this case. Based upon the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable, and reconsideration and early allowance of these claims are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

VEDDER, PRICE, KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C.

Date: July 23, 2004

By:



Mark A. Dalla Valle

Reg. No. 34,147

Attorney for Assignee
222 N. LaSalle St.
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: 312-609-7500
Facsimile: 312-609-5005
Customer No. 23418
Atty. Docket: 11602.00.0004

11602.00.0004
CHICAGO/#1260236.1

8